

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/620,200	07/15/2003	Donald E. Weder	8403.932	8714
30589	7590 06/02/2005		EXAMINER	
DUNLAP, CODDING & ROGERS P.C. PO BOX 16370			AHMAD, NASSER	
OKLAHOMA CITY, OK 73113			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1772	

DATE MAILED: 06/02/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/620,200	WEDER, DONALD	) E.
Office .	Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	·
		Nasser Ahmad	1772	
The MAILI Period for Reply	NG DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence ad	dress
THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time ma after SIX (6) MONTHS  - If the period for reply s  - If NO period for reply in Failure to reply within Any reply received by	STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  by be available under the provisions of 37 CFF  from the mailing date of this communication, specified above is less than thirty (30) days, as specified above, the maximum statutory perithe set or extended period for reply will, by state of the Office later than three months after the migustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of th fiod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC atute, cause the application to become	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely INTHS from the mailing date of this co ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	/. mmunication.
Status		,		
1) Responsive	to communication(s) filed on 2	<u>8 January 2005</u> .		
2a) This action	is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ 7	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this a	application is in condition for allo	wance except for formal ma	tters, prosecution as to the	merits is
closed in ad	ccordance with the practice und	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claim	ıs			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-</u>	<u>9, 12-21 ans 24-28</u> is/are pendir	ng in the application.		
4a) Of the a	bove claim(s) is/are with	drawn from consideration.		
5)	is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-</u>	<u>9,12-21 and 24-28</u> is/are rejecte	ed.		
7) Claim(s)	is/are objected to.	·		
8) Ctaim(s)	are subject to restriction an	nd/or election requirement.		
Application Papers				
	ation is objected to by the Exan			
10) The drawing	g(s) filed on is/are: a) 🔲	accepted or b)☐ objected to	b by the Examiner.	
	ay not request that any objection to			
	t drawing sheet(s) including the co			
11)☐ The oath or	declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PT	O-152.
Priority under 35 U.	5.C. § 119			
a)	ment is made of a claim for fore Some * c) None of: fied copies of the priority docum		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
	fied copies of the priority docum		Application No.	
	es of the certified copies of the			Stage
	cation from the International Bu	·		
	ched detailed Office action for a		ot received.	
2222 2				
Attachment(s)				•
1) Notice of Reference	s Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)	
2) D Notice of Draftspers	on's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948	Paper No	o(s)/Mail Date	152)
3) Information Disclose Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE ate	3/08) 5) \( \bigcap \) Notice of (6) \( \bigcap \) Other: \( \bigcap \)	f Informal Patent Application (PTC	J-134)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

Art Unit: 1772

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Allowability Withdrawn

1. Indicated allowability of claims 10-11 and 22-23, now presented as independent claims 25-28, is being withdrawn in view of the newly uncovered prior art. A complete action on the merit follows:

#### **Double Patenting**

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 1-9, 12-21 and 24-28 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-33 of U.S. Patent No. 6,406,651. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both the instant application and Patent'651 ar directed to a method of making an article. Patent'651 teaches a method of making decorative grass by providing material having matted or textured surface assimilating the appearance of paper, and cutting the material into strips of grass. However,

Art Unit: 1772

Patent'651 fails to teach that the method for making ribbons. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to utilize the teaching of Patent'651 to form strips of ribbons because ribbons and grass are of the same shape as shown in the drawings of both the instant application (figures 20) and the Patent'651 (figures 20-22).

## Rejections Maintained

- 4. Claims 1-9, 12-21 rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being obvious over Weder for reasons of record in the last Office Action, paragraph-2, mailed August 11, 2004.
- 5. claims 12 and 24 rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Weder in view the English abstract of Jp'902 for reasons of record in the last Office Action, paragraph-3.

### Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed January 28, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Weder does not teach providing a decorative ribbon material by cutting sheet or web in to strips having a predetermined width. This is not deemed to be convincing because Weder is directed to forming strips of flower pot cover which is understood to include ribbon strips. As for the steps of providing the sheet and cutting it into strips, Weder refers to U.S. Patent 4773182 wherein the process steps are

Art Unit: 1772

disclosed. For example, figure 6 of Patent'182 clearly shows the steps of providing the sheet or web of polymeric material and cutting it into strips of ribbon. The ribbon includes the strips used by Weder as covering for flower pot.

Applicant also argues that Weder also does not teach claims 12 and 24 as it does not teach the recite process steps. This is not found to be persuasive because the above provided grounds of explanation is applied herein a fortiori.

Further, contrary to applicant's position, the Japanese'902 was cited to show that it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to use foamed sheet having paper-like characteristics.

Thus, in the absence of any evidence to the contrary, it remains the examiner's position that the instant claimed invention is obvious over the prior art of record discussed above.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nasser Ahmad whose telephone number is 571-272-1487. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 AM to 5:00 PM, and on alternate Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on 571-272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1772

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Nasser Ahmad
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1772

N. Ahmad. May 30, 2005.